

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-CV-601-FDW-DCK**

<b>WHIRLPOOL PROPERTIES, INC.,</b>	)	
<b>WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION, and</b>	)	
<b>MAYTAG PROPERTIES, LLC,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
	)	
<b>FILTERS FAST, LLC,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	
	)	

---

**THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT** on “Plaintiffs, Whirlpool Properties, Inc., Whirlpool Corporation, And Maytag Properties, LLC’s, Motion To File Documents Under Seal” (Document No. 95) filed December 19, 2018. This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion and the record, and noting consent of Defendant’s counsel, the undersigned will grant the motion.

A party who seeks to seal any pleading must comply with the Local Rules of this Court. See Local Rule 6.1. It appears that the requirements of Local Rule 6.1(c)(1) through (4) have been adequately met.

As reflected in the Rule, the Court is required to consider the factors contained in Local Civil Rule 6.1(c). The first factor is found in Local Civil Rule 6.1(c)(1), which requires that the parties adequately describe the materials sought to be sealed. The Rule requires “[a] non-confidential description of the material sought to be sealed.” Local Rule. 6.1(c)(1). The Rule

is intended to give third-parties, including the press, fair notice of the nature of the materials sought to be sealed. The description contained in the motion is sufficient.

The Court next considers Local Rule 6.1(c)(2), which requires “[a] statement as to why sealing is necessary and why there are no alternatives to filing under seal.” Local Rule 6.1(c)(2). Such statement has been provided and is adequate as it appears that the information that Plaintiffs seek to seal contains information that has been designated as “Confidential” pursuant to the “Protective Order” (Document No. 53).

As to Local Rule 6.1(c)(3), there are no provisions for sealing matters beyond the life of the case, inasmuch as case materials must be placed in the National Archives. If the parties believe at the conclusion of the case that such materials remain sensitive, they should move the Clerk of Court to strike any such sensitive pleadings from the official Court record.

Finally, the Court has considered Local Rule 6.1(c)(4), which requires the parties to provide citations of law supporting the relief they seek. Plaintiffs have not cited any statutes or caselaw; however, they contend that sealing here is consistent with the requirements of the “Protective Order” (Document No. 53).

Having considered the factors provided in Local Rule 6.1(c), the Court will grant the motion to seal. Noting that the time for public response has not run on this motion, the Court will consider any objection to this Order from non-parties as an objection to the motion, requiring no additional burden for any non-party under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Local Rule 6.1(e).


## CONCLUSION

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that “Plaintiffs, Whirlpool Properties, Inc., Whirlpool Corporation, And Maytag Properties, LLC’s, Motion To File Documents Under Seal” (Document

No. 95) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs shall file an unredacted copy of “Whirlpool Plaintiffs’ Memorandum In Opposition To Defendant Filters Fast, LLC’s Motion For Protective Order And Sanctions” (Document No. 94) under seal. This document shall remain under seal unless otherwise ordered by this Court.

**SO ORDERED.**

Signed: December 20, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David C. Keesler  
United States Magistrate Judge  
